























































































*Litigation costs*

Upon this outcome, Coraline shall compensate Walter Höft for his litigation costs in the Court of Appeal. Coraline has left it to the Court of Appeal to review the reasonableness of the claimed amount.

The Court of Appeal confirms that Walter Höft as the respondent in the case had to relate to Coraline's action and litigation. The arbitration proceedings in question were extensive and concerned several legal issues. Swedish, Cypriot and English law became relevant in the case. Party experts were cited by both parties. In a comparison with the total litigation cost claimed that Coraline submitted to the Court of Appeal, the amount claimed by Walter Höft is less than half. In a collective assessment, the Court of Appeal finds that the claimed compensation for litigation costs may be considered reasonable.

*Appeal*

According to Section 43, Paragraph 2 of the Swedish Arbitration Act, the Court of Appeal's judgement may only be appealed if the court considers it to be of importance as a matter of precedence that the appeal be considered by the Supreme Court.

The Court of Appeal considers that there is no reason to permit this decision to be appeal.

**The Court of Appeal's ruling cannot be appealed.**

Head of Division of the Court of Appeal Per Carlson and Judges of Appeal Maj Johansson (referee) and Magnus Ulriksson participated in this ruling.

---